

REMARKS

RESTRICTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 121

The Office action requires an election of claims under 35 U.S.C. § 121. Group I includes claims 1-24. Group II includes claims 25-31. Claims 1-31 have been canceled. Therefore, the restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 121 is moot.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C § 103

CLAIMS 1-3 AND 10

Claims 1-3 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,269,402 issued to Lin et al. (*Lin*) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0116501 attributed to Ho et al. (*Ho*). Claims 1-3 and 10 have been canceled. Therefore, the rejection of these claims is moot.

CLAIM 4

Claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lin* in view of *Ho* and further in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0053694 attributed to Igarashi et al. (*Igarashi*). Claim 4 has been canceled. Therefore, the rejection of this claim is moot.

CLAIMS 5-9

Claims 5-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lin*, *Ho* and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0012149 attributed to Maggenti et al. (*Maggenti*) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,006,266 issued to Murphy, Jr. et al. (*Murphy*). Claims 5-9 have been canceled. Therefore, the rejection of these claims is moot.

CLAIMS 11-13, 16-18, 20-21, AND 23-24

Claims 11-13, 16-18, 20-21, and 23-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lin* and *Ho* and further in view of *Maggenti*. Claims 11-13, 16-18, 20-21, and 23-24 have been canceled. Therefore, the rejection of these claims is moot.

CLAIMS 14 AND 15

Claims 14 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lin*, *Ho* and *Maggenti* and further in view of *Igarashi*. Claims 14 and 15 have been canceled. Therefore, the rejection of these claims is moot.

CLAIMS 19 AND 22

Claims 19 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lin*, *Ho* and *Maggenti* in view of *Murphy*. Claims 19 and 22 have been canceled. Therefore, the rejection of these claims is moot.

NEW CLAIMS

Claims 32-58 are added herein. Claims 32-58 each contain new limitations not found in the previously rejected claims 1-24. Therefore, Applicant submits that the rejections above are not applicable to claims 32-58. Applicant submits that each of claims 32-58 contains at least one limitation that is not taught or disclosed in the cited references above. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 32-58 are not obvious in view of the cited references.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant submits that claims 32-58 contain allowable subject matter and are in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account number
02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

Date: 10/14/05



Gregory D. Caldwell
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 39,926

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(503) 684-6200

GDC/jse